

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	R	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/407.064	03/20/95	KATZ	R	6046-101NA
			WOO,S	EXAMINER
D 0 1110		26M2/1116	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
B. G. NILS NILSSON, W	ISUN JURST & GREE	N		5
	RE BLVD., 3 S. CA 90017		2608	
	ON 30017		DATE MAILED:	
This is a communication COMMISSIONER OF PA		charge of your application. MARKS		11/16/95
This application has		Responsive to communication filed of		This action is made final.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter.  Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133				
Part I THE FOLLOWIN	IG ATTACHMENT(S)	ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:		•
3. Notice of Art of	erences Cited by Exam Cited by Applicant, PTo n How to Effect Drawin		Notice of Draftsman's P. Notice of Informal Pater	atent Drawing Review, PTO-948. t Application, PTO-152.
Part II SUMMARY OF	ACTION			
1. Claims	2-5			_ are pending in the application.
Of the abo	ve, claims			withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims		·		_ have been cancelled.
3. Claims				are allowed.
4. Claims	2-51			are rejected.
5. Claims	<del></del>			are objected to.
6. Claims			are subject to restricti	on or election requirement.
7. This application	has been filed with info	ormal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 wh	ich are acceptable for exam	nination purposes.
8.  Formal drawings	are required in respor	nse to this Office action.		
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).				
		theet(s) of drawings, filed on niner (see explanation).		□ approved by the
11. The proposed dra	awing correction, filed	, has been	approved; disapproved	(see explanation).
		for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The cal no; filed on		received  not been received
		condition for allowance except for formoparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 2		o the merits is closed in
14 Cther				

Serial Number: 08/407,064

Art Unit: 2608

## Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obvious-type or non-obvious-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent.

In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van

Ornam, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759

F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Goodman, 29

USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 (b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78 (d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a Terminal Disclaimer. A Terminal Disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Serial Number: 08/407,064

Art Unit: 2608

2. Claims 2-51 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-22 of U. S. Patent No. 5,412,708 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, namely, a system for monitoring a plurality of scrutiny locations from a central station utilizing dial-up telephone facilities and comprising the same elements.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. <u>In re Schneller</u>, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed Stella Woo, whose telephone number is (703) 305-4395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday from 6:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Stella Woo Patent Examiner Art Unit 2608 -3-

October 29, 1995